# **TPO Intent and Purpose -- Sticky Note Comments**

(Comments grouped by topic)

#### **Lack of Trust**

Disbelief that the stated TPO intent and purpose are measurable goals and will save trees, much less grow the canopy to 50% coverage; dissatisfaction with planning commissioner.

- Empty Words. Unless we hold developers accountable and stop kissing \$\$ ass.
- None of this matters if the city operates the same way.
- These are not measurable actions for the purpose. Define these more and think SMART.
- Concern that buzzwords of balancing w/affordability, mobility & growth will result in same situation of putting trees & their benefits last.
- What does "as possible" mean? Balancing tree preservation w/social issues sounds like an escape clause for preserving trees.
- Afraid of how "high value trees and habitat will be defined. What about an overall threshold of X% of habitat tree loss NOT TO BE CROSSED in addition to (indecipherable).
- I like the idea... but stating 50% goal of canopy coverage (which is more than we currently have) doesn't seem realistic unless we commit to stopping <u>all</u> development <u>now</u> (which doesn't seem likely).
- For this to work, support needs to come from the top. Tell the builders that a new day a comin', no more recompense, save the trees!
- We are out of balance now with development devouring land, trees, etc. This needs to change!
- We need a new planning commissioner.

## "No Net Loss of Trees":

TPO intent and purpose does not include that there will be "no net loss of trees".

- (In reference to the stated TPO Intent and Purpose bullets) These are <u>not</u> the goals of the Atlanta Tree Protection Ordinance which is No Net Loss of Trees!
- Sounds good. But I wonder if there is value in stating a goal of no net loss of trees.
- Should include language stating the goal be no net loss in tree coverage.

# **Enforcement:**

TPO intent and purpose includes no language about enforcement.

- Enforcement is missing.
- What about enforcement?
- Enforcement is critical.

#### **Aligning TPO with Other Development Requirements:**

TPO must be given equal footing to other regulations/development requirements and be considered in the beginning of permitting process.

- Stop allowing TPO to play 2<sup>nd</sup> to all other requirements. It must be on equal footing,
- Yes! Align TPO w/other development req. & look at TPO at the beginning!

### **Importance of Trees**

Trees provide other benefits that need to be recognized, and their contribution to high value habitat and high bio-diversity is critical.

- Tree canopy provides environment, psychological, and economical benefits which needs recognition.
- Think prohibiting loss of high value habitat & high bio-diversity is critically important.

## **Community Input:**

TPO intent and purpose needs to include statement on community input, especially those whose voices are not heard over developer money.

- Sounds good but I would add a section on community input.
- What are you doing to ensure that low income residents are given an equal voice to \$\$\$
  companies?

# **Grading and footprints:**

Limit land grading and buildable footprints to protect soil.

- Protect soil by limiting grading of land.
- Reduce footprints.

### **Replanting Trees:**

TOP intent and purpose needs to include tree replanting objectives.

This presentation is all about retaining existing trees – which is important. But another
important part is replanting – doing it correctly, planting the right tree for the right place.
Where will that be covered?